WATSON LEAVENWORTH KELTON & TAGGART
100 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK 10017

LESLIE D. TAGGART
ELMER R. HELFERICH
NORMAN N. SCHUTTLER
JOHN T. KELTON
NICHOLAS JOHN STATHIS
WILLIAM H. VOGT III
HERBERT BLECKER
HOWARD K. KOTHE
ALBERT ROBIN
THOMAS V. HEYMAN
ROBERT E. KOSINSKI
STEVAN J. BOSSES
GEORGE J. BRANDT, JR.
JAMES J. DALEY
FRANK J. COLUCCI
GEORGE W. MacDONALD JR.

JAMES W. SADIE
ROBERT J. EICHELBURG
ROBERT M. FREEMAN
MAXIM H. WALDBAUM
PAUL E. O'DONNELL, JR.
PHILIP M. FRENCH
PETER G. DILWORTH
FRANKLIN A[illegible]

LEONARD A. WATSON (1930-1960)
ELLIS W. LEAVENWORTH (1930-1959)

(AREA CODE 212)
683-4220

CABLE ADDRESS "WATSOLAW"

INTERNATIONAL TELEX
620866

November 5, 1975

Remfry & Son
11/1A, Rawdon Street,
Calcutta-17
India

RE: Our File 582-736 Bangladesh
Bangladesh Patent Appln. 152/74
dated 8/5/74 - corresponding to
Patent No. 123,309 dated 31/7/1971
PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED

COPY

Dear Sirs:

We acknowledge receipt of your letter of October 4, 1975 reporting the issuance of an Examination Report in the above case. We confirm further that we cabled you on Novmeber 5 that these instructions were forthcoming. We assume that you have obtained a three-month extension for placing this application in condition for acceptance.

Please prepare and file a reply to the Examination Report in accordance with our instructions given below and as soon as possible, bearing in mind that if you need additional information, you should communicate with us by return air-mail so that we may have the application in proper condition for acceptance within the extended term.

With respect to the first objection of the Examiner concerning claims 17-19, as well as his notation that there appears to be more than one invention disclosed, it is proposed that this be overcome by submitting a new set of claims in place of those presently on file. For this purpose we attach a copy of the claims that have been patented in the British patent specification 1,356,411. These claims, twenty-nine in number, we think cover all of the aspects of the invention and would provide the scope of protection to which we think the invention is entitled. We assume that

2026535093

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/qpyj0000

you will type the necessary specification pages to present the new claims and you are authorized to delete any of these claims which would not be in strict accordance with practice in your country as well as to make such amendments as might be required to fully comply with the requirement of the Examiner.

With regard to the second objection of the Examiner, we enclose photoprint copies of pages 2, 3, 4 and 10 of the specification in which we have marked in red ink the changes which should be made therein to overcome the objection the Examiner has made.

We note that the remaining objections of the Examiner are all of a strictly formal nature and that as to these, you will deal with them.

We also enclose at this time a newly executed Power of Attorney which should be submitted with the response.

We believe the above provides you with all the information you need for filing a response, but as indicated, if you do need additional information or having any question concerning these instructions please communicate with us immediately by air-mail, or if necessary telex in order to settle any outstanding issues so that the application can be placed in condition for acceptance at the earliest time.

Very truly yours,

George J. Brandt, Jr.

GJB:jm
Enclosure

COPY

2026535094

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/qpyj0000